

Hungary's peace proposal, February 2025

*Motto: We must build the second Hungary, because the first one has been occupied.
(Imre Makovecz, architect, 1935-2011)*

Preamble

The present peace proposal was prepared by Hungary's largest civil society grouping, the Unite Our Forces Movement (EEM) and its member, the Parallel Society Movement (PTM). These movements were born during the Covid-crisis on the initiative of doctors of vaccine criticism, and were joined by an increasing number of civil groups and individuals who, experiencing the global crisis of the current paradigm, want to get out of it and build a viable alternative according to the new paradigm, the idea of a self-governed society.

This document is a brief summary of the peace proposal.[1]

After the fall of communism in 1989, the US, following a pre-planned scenario, did not allow Hungary, Germany or the former socialist countries sovereignty, but made them vassals and colonies of the US. The instruments for this were NATO, the EU, the UN and covert interventions into the national politics. The actual governments are executors with very little space of autonomy. Hungary, like Germany, is not a sovereign country, it has no constitution of its own, only a provisional Basic Law.

¹ Fotó Tornyák Edit

Therefore, the current government cannot make a peace proposal that would change the status quo. But our people's movement - independent of politics - can do so, without compromise. The proposal can be joined by anyone - parties, individuals, civil society groups - who agree with its contents. For the above reasons, we do not expect our government to come up with a peace proposal of its own, we only expect them to acknowledge the existence of our proposal, the expression of the will of a significant number of people behind it, and to give our views publicity, not only in the domestic media, but also on the international stage and at the negotiating table of future peace negotiations, especially if the venue for the negotiations is Budapest.

The peace proposal

The peace proposal consists of three parts:

1. outlining the current world situation and naming the real perpetrators of the war, exposing the lies and propaganda
2. to set out the broader European framework of the peace proposal, including the definition of the borders of the nation states concerned after the peace agreement

3. a proposal for the framework for the future peaceful reorganisation of the Carpathian Basin and the Hungarian model to be established within it

Our peace proposal, as the sovereign position of the Hungarian people, should be incorporated into the peace treaties.

Part One - A turning point in world history

The current war is a proxy war between two sister nations, started by the US, which is responsible for breaking the 1990 Gorbachev-Bush agreement that created a neutral zone between Russia and NATO, and then the US started the preparations for Ukraine's accession to NATO with the 2014 coup and gave the green light to provoke the civilian population of the Donbass. Putin's response only serves Russia's self-defence. The war is not really against Russia, but - as in World Wars I and II - against Middle Europe, with the aim of destroying Germany's economy by preventing the only real challenge to the US: the Russian-German cooperation. And NATO's task is not self-defence against the Warsaw Pact, which no longer exists, but what it was from the start. The doctrine of NATO was formulated by its first Secretary General, Churchill's man, Lord Ismay:

"To keep America in, the Soviets out and Germany down."[2]

This has been almost fully achieved today.

Without naming the causes of war, a peace agreement can have neither meaning nor lasting results.

Our peace proposal is an expression of the historical will of the Hungarian people, which was expressed most recently in the 1956 revolution. In 1956 in Budapest, the communist and capitalist systems fell simultaneously. Within a few days of the victorious revolution, the cells of the new paradigm, the workers' councils, were spontaneously born. This attempt of the Third Way was suppressed by the Soviet army with the approval of the USA.

In 1989, the USA took over the whole of Europe and, instead of dismantling NATO, prevented Germany's intention to leave, which was to be announced on 3 October 1990 by Chancellor Kohl of the CDU and Foreign Minister Genscher of the SPD on the occasion of the Berlin Wall demolition and the reunion of the two Germanys ceremony. The decision expressed the will of the overwhelming majority of the people, including the parties, the Greens and the Free Democrats as well. In January 2025, the AfD[3] announced that if it won the elections, Germany would leave NATO. Those who oppose this intention are defying the will of the people, which 35 years ago was the will of all parties. At the same time, the AfD wants to restore the Nord Stream pipeline and thus German-Russian cooperation. All this, together with Trump's measures - the withdrawal from the Paris climate agreement and the curbing

of the exclusivity of electric cars - will help Germany and Europe to recover and to stop the false decarbonisation narrative.

"The tanks are out - the banks are in"[4]

Today's Hungarian government sees itself as the heir to 1956. This includes the neutrality declared in 1956. This is what the people wanted in 1989, and it took a few years for the media to brainwash them, and after a rigged referendum we found ourselves under the new masters, in NATO and then in the EU.

NATO became an expansionist, aggressive organisation as an arm of US imperialist policy. Its abolition would best serve the interest of humanity. Once the US withdraws its resources from NATO, its demise will be within reach. Turkey will shortly leave NATO and become a member of the military cooperation of the Turkic Peoples' Association. Austria is neutral. Serbia does not join the organisation that bombed it to ashes, nor the EU. If Georgescu wins in Romania, he too will be prepared to withdraw Romania from NATO. Slovakia is also in with a chance. Germany will also leave sooner or later, whether the AfD wins or not.

As the Hungarian prime minister said on 20 January, at the same time as Trump's inauguration, the global dominance of the Anglo-Saxon power has ended. The US must not only relinquish its role as world policeman, but also withdraw from Europe, whose history it has written since the US military intervention in 1917. The First World War was a proxy war, just like today's Russia-Ukraine war. Germany and the AustroHungarian Monarchy, neither of which wanted to go to war - a fact now acknowledged by many Western historians[5] - were falsely accused of militarism, while Britain continued to arm and build the Entente, and then provoked the Monarchy into war. The aim then was, and still is, to destroy Central Europe as a rival of the US and Britain. Today it is not Germany that is demonised, but Putin. But that is over. Let Europe belong to the Europeans.

Part Two - the broader framework of the peace proposal, a new map of Middle Europe

The current historical situation offers a unique opportunity for the rebirth of Middle Europe. In a special way, the Russian peace plan offers an opportunity and a good basis for this. The Hungarian proposal is based on the map published by Dmitry Medvedev in March 2024, with a slight correction.

Vladimir Putin has stated that his aim in ending the war in Ukraine is nothing less than to return the peoples who have been subjected to foreign domination in past world wars to their own historical place.

The map illustrates how this is being achieved, mainly by depicting the Carpathian

Basin and its surroundings. The map shows Russia reintegrating the whole of Ukraine, leaving a small, denazified and demilitarised Western Ukraine with Kiev in the middle. Contrary to Western propaganda, Russia is not expanding, but is ceding territory to its western neighbours: Poland is getting back Galicia with Lwów



(Lemberg), Romania is getting two county territories (Chernowitz and Vinnytsia), and Hungary is getting the Eastern Carpathians ("Kárpátalja") that before 1920 belonged to Hungary, with a Hungarian minority of 12 %. In other words, Putin is giving back what the Soviet Union took away under the 1920 and 1945 peace treaties, respectively.

We Hungarians support of the proposed territorial reorganisations. We are proposing only very general arrangements for the internal relations of the newly-formed nationstates with changed territories, which will be set out in more details in the future multilateral peace agreement. Their final formulation will require the involvement of the countries concerned. Therefore, there must be sovereign representation of all the nations of the neutral zone proposed by Russia around the negotiating table, i.e. there can be no question of the exclusive presence of the puppet governments subordinate to the USA. This also means that the time has passed for the future of peoples to be decided by the superpowers or illegitimate multinational organisations, over the heads of the people concerned. For the new nation-states concerned, the treaty must in any case include full cultural autonomy for all the peoples and ethnic minorities that have historically lived there. They should also be directly or indirectly represented at the negotiating table.

We propose that the leaked Russian proposal for a modernised Austro-Hungarian monarchy be amended to meet the requirements of the present day.

For the reconstituted nation-states, any joint state formation can only be envisaged as a future possibility, and this form cannot be other than a confederation, i.e. a voluntary association of sovereign nation-states around certain common issues. The failure of the EU and its hidden agendas have discredited all forms of federation, none of which can be imagined for a long time, perhaps never again. The omission of the Austro-Hungarian monarchy was the failure to resolve the issue of nationality, i.e. the cultural autonomy of the cca. 19 million Slavs living within the monarchy. If Franz Ferdinand had succeeded in remedying this with his plan of trialism[6] and an Austro-Hungarian-Slavic Monarchy had been created, there would have been neither World War I nor World War II, nor the Communist world order, nor the present war and the neo-Bolshevik World Government now in preparation, and the Danube Monarchy or Republic would be a European middle power today. The Carpathian Basin would then have become the cradle of a self-governed society. It is from this point, i.e. from 1914, that we need to rethink the future internal relations of the Carpathian Basin, so as not to make another omission. In this sense, there is no reality either of the leading role of the House of Habsburg or of the Roman Catholic Church in the formation of the new state. There must be no return to the outdated historical forms of the unitary state.

Now the future of the Carpathian Basin is framed by the possibility of a slowly unfolding confederation. For this, the countries concerned must first withdraw from the US-led, disintegrating NATO and the EU, and then from the UN and the WHO. The EU lured its member states with the promise of confederation. It is now seen to be moving towards a centralised superstate, the United States of Europe. At the same time, its origins and ultimate purpose have been made clear by Churchill's statement in 1947:

"Without a United Europe there is no sure prospect of an effective world supergovernment."[7]

As the EU superstate is heading in this direction and the UN is destined to play the role of world government, it is necessary to leave both. Integration is needed, but it must come not from above and by force, but from below, as a result of organic development and consensus. This applies to the whole of Europe and the Carpathian Basin. After the demise of the EU and NATO, it is possible to create a European House on a new basis and a defence force of our own, which was discussed in 1989, and then NATO put this direction aside.

The creation of a new possible confederation as a framework consists of small steps and can only be approached on the basis of consensus: common border protection, abolition of internal customs borders of the future confederation, and a Swiss-style territorial defence.

So these are the frameworks.

Part Three - Middle Europe as the cradle of the self-managed society

*"Which will be the best government? The one that teaches us to govern ourselves."
Johann Wolfgang Goethe*

The Hungarian Prime Minister speaks of a "World System Change" and the formation of a new global blocs system in which Hungary does not wish to join any bloc. This means nothing less than neutrality, i.e. if Hungary leaves the federal system of the West, it does not wish to join any bloc of the East. This statement is legitimised by at least two thirds of Hungarian citizens.

But what should be the internal content of the new Middle European state formations?

The historical legitimacy of the current paradigm, the centralised, all-powerful unitary state, has expired, with its perverse intensification, together with the World Government. Its guiding ideology, the liberal, now globalised capitalism and transhumanist ideology, is moving towards the total annihilation of nature, society and ultimately humanity. The derailed development of humanity must be put back on its organic path, which is self-governance. And this idea must come only from its cradle, Middle Europe.

The West's deep diplomacy is now interested in creating a political chaos. This must be avoided at all costs. The Hungarian people's movement is not political, it does not want a change of government or a regime change, but a peaceful paradigm shift. This change does not require the sudden abolition of the current historical forms, but a peaceful transition. This is what our proposal, called the **New Consensus**, is about.

The people's movement born in 2020 has begun to build a third way, parallel to the existing system. It is a grassroots, community-based, networked form that does not aim to overthrow the system, but builds an alternative. It starts by creating a local economy, with its own money, based on a new concept of money, capital and property. This organic process has been going on for years. The essence of the new consensus is to preserve the current political-legal-economic structure, avoid a political vacuum, and maintain stability and security. In addition, it must allow the free development of a self-managed society, from the bottom up, which today is naturally in a minority but is in permanent growth. In other words, the Consensus could mean, on the one hand, the Prime Minister's Hungarian Grand Strategy on the basis of the current state-centred paradigm and, on the other hand, a bottom-up, self-directed society, the Parallel Society Movement, liberated from the omnipotent state.

The reconciliation of the two directions means that the state structure continues its redistributive, centralised role in the municipalities and withdraws by regular agreement from where the local economy is recovering and where the self-governing institutions of local society have been established. The state is simultaneously

withdrawing public funding and the tax squeeze and handing it over to local society. This also entails the Parallel Society running its own money system. The free competition of the monetary system has been reopened by cryptocurrencies, just as before the introduction of the monopolistic role of the Federal Reserve in the US, when more than 500 different kinds of money were used - the state monopoly, together with the banks' monopoly on money management, must be abolished again. In the local communities, the cooperative economy of the future is being built, a direct continuation of the Hungarian and German third way movements of workers' councils. Prices are set by associations of producers and consumers. The new money is structurally incapable of speculation, free of inflation and thus of a currency crisis. The economy is driven not by the profit motive or the ideological press of the state, but by needs. The bipolar, Anglo-Saxon-style representative democracy of parliament and party politics is replaced by direct democracy. Spiritual life is freed from the influence of the state and the economy. The centralised unitary state is replaced by the cooperation and synergy of the three spheres of life after the organisation of their own self-government. This is the Hungarian model of the Parallel Society Movement.

Although the New Consensus is a domestic political act, it has implications for the whole of the Carpathian Basin. The new paradigm that is developing in the cradle of the self-managed society can serve as an attractive model for neighbouring peoples as well as for any other people in the world.

Conclusion

In 1989, East-Central Europe was not liberated, it merely changed masters.

We can now put an end to this.

There is nothing to prevent Hungary from leaving NATO in 2025. And if we have left it, we can also leave the other arm of US imperialist policy, the EU. And then only the UN and the WHO will be left to leave. Since the USA is withdrawing from the WHO, whose pandemic treaty is a renunciation of sovereignty for the signatory nations and a preparation for the formation of the One World Government from the UN, the peoples of Central Europe have every right to do so and must do so. They have had enough of the US tutelage of Central Europe, which they believe is necessary because another world war could start from here at any time. The events of the last century prove the opposite.

We, the Parallel Society Movement, say this on behalf of Hungary.

Our peace proposal awaits all those who join us and those who agree, inside and outside our borders. The EU is working against us. While Member States are buying Russian gas and oil by the roundabout way, at a poisonous price, now, on the eve of

peace, they are thinking of a new package of sanctions and the continuation of the war. What have we anything to do with this criminal conspiracy?

We call on all peace-loving citizens and peoples of Middle and Eastern Europe to join our peace initiative and continue working around the international negotiating table and our own round tables.

Now, or never.

In 2025, Middle Europe, shattered by two world wars and now being destroyed again by the current war, can be resurrected.

Budapest, February 2025 on behalf of the Parallel Society and the

Unite Our Forces movements:

Dr. József Tamasi, physician, internist

Attila Ertsey DLA architect

Zoltán Németh, teacher

Dr. Alfréd Pócs, physician, traumatologist

Zsolt József Kiss, mental health and support professional, television journalist

Dr. Tibor Uhrík, doctor

Péter Balogh, geographer

Árpád Szakács, journalist, publisher

Barna Csibi, activist of human rights (Romania, Carpathian Ukraine)

János Károvičs, pharmacist

Anna Makovecz, painter

Orsolya Györfy, publisher, founder-editor of The Present Age monthly magazine, former leader of Children's Health Defence Europe

Ferenc Mede, electric engineer, businessman (Slovakia)

Edit Belasics, Castle of Szent András, Bölske

Károly Kurutz, sculptor

www.eem.hu

<https://parhuzamostarsadalom.blog.hu/>

[1] The detailed version is available on eem.hu and on the Parallel Society blog.

[2] The quote can be found on the NATO website in Lord Ismay's biography

[3] Statement by Alice Weidel

[4] Hungarian proverb around 1989

[5] See Christopher Clarke: Sleepwalkers; Clarke's view is that the war was brought about by a series of unfortunate coincidences. This simply means that the dogma of war responsibility has been overturned

[6] <https://lexikon.katolikus.hu/T/trializmus.html>

[7] UNITED EUROPE MEETING, London, Royal Albert Hall, 14 May 1947.